---- 2008 51-39 1 19 ----

Nerium oleander

Trichomonas vaginalis

Melia azedarach

(2007/11/26 2007/5/7)

Nerium oleander

Trichomonas vaginalis Melia azedarach

.%10 (TYM)

³ / 2.5 2 1.75 1.5 1 0.5

³ / 2 1.75 1.5 1 0.5 72 48 24

³ / 2 ³ / 2.5

72 %68.8 %66.6

Number of generation

 0.22 ± 1.57 0.12 ± 0.26

 0.14 ± 1.84 0.13 ± 1.53 0.08 ± 0.4

 0.06 ± 3.22 0.13 ± 1.48 0.04 ± 3.17

Generation time

3 / 1.75 2 IC50

. 72

The Effect of Aqueous Extracts of Nerium oleander and Melia azedarach on Growth of Trichomonas vaginalis In vitro

Rana S. Abdallah

Hussain I. Arteen

Department of Biology College of Science Mosul University

ABSTRACT

The present study involved the poisonous effect of an aqueous extract of *Nerium oleander* leaves and *Melia azedarach* fruits on *Trichomonas vaginalis*, growing on Diamond's medium (TYM) enriched with 10% of inactivated human plasma.

The results indicated that these extracts have poisonous effect on *T. vaginalis*. The uses of concentrations 0.5, 1, 1.5, 1.75, 2, 2.5 mg/ml for leaves extract of *N. oleander* and 0.5, 1, 1.5, 1.75, 2 mg/ml for fruits extract of *M. azedarach*, caused gradual inhibition of trichomonad numbers proportioned with the increase of concentration during different growth periods 24-48-72 hours.

The high concentrations 2.5 mg/ml for leaves extract of *N. oleander* and 2 mg/ml for fruits extract of *M. azedarach* caused inhibition of the trichomonads growth at rates 66.6% and 68.8% respectively during 72 hours of growth.

It was observed that these extracts have an effect on number of generation which decreased during different growth periods between 0.26 ± 0.12 to 1.57 ± 0.22 and 0.4 ± 0.08 to 1.53 ± 0.13 generation in contrast with control 1.84 ± 0.14 to 3.17 ± 0.04 for *N. oleander* and 1.48 ± 0.13 to 3.22 ± 0.06 for *M.azedarach*_when high concentrations of *N. oleander* leaves and *M. azedarach* fruits extracts were used respectively. It was also observed that these extracts had an effect on generation time which increased with increasing of concentrations used during different growth periods.

The IC50 concentrations for extracts were determined which were 2 and 1.75 mg/ml for *N. oleander* and *M. azedarach*, respectively, during 72 hours of growth.

Sexually transmitted

Trichomonasis

.Trichomonas vaginalis

diseases (STDs)

180-100

(Land and Johnson, 1997)

.(Schwebke and Burgess, 2004)

.(Cu-Uvin et al., 1999) Human immunodeficiencyvirus (HIV)

(Flagyl) Metroindazole Nitroimidazoles

Refractory cases

.(Lewis et al., 1997)

.

Glycosides

Bitter materials Saponins Alkaloides Tannins .(1981)

Melia azedarach Nerium oleander

.In vitro

:

Trichomonas vaginalis

:

Vaginitis

(Rein,1990; Brown, 1975) Burning Itching
Lumbricant Sterile speculum

2

Posterier fonrix of cervix

(Gwendolyn, 1996)

.(Thomas et al., 1996)

)

: (Diamond, 1957) Diamond's Medium Tryptone, Yeast, Maltose (TYM) 0.1 3 3 / 105×1 4.9 ° 37 3 : 24 48 72 0.9 Neubaeur Heamocytometer %40 0.1 .40xN. oleander M. azedarach .(2004) T. vaginalis %1 5-4

.(Riose et al., 1987)

3 / 2.5 2 1.75 1.5 1 0.5

3 / 2 1.75 1.5 1 0.5

.(Benjamin and German,1993)

```
:
```

Complete random design (CDR)

(Bruning, Duncan multiple range test

.(p≤0.05) ()

(1) 2.5 2 1.75 1.5 1 0.5 (1)

 $p \le 0.05$

³ / 2 IC50 72 %50 %33.6 %73.2

 %96.8
 24
 3
 / 2.5-0.5

 .%33.4
 %79.8
 72
 48
 %28.4

 (2)

 $\begin{array}{c} p \leq 0.05 \\ (&) \\ 0.12 \pm 0.26 & 0.091 \pm 1.39 \\ 24 & 0.14 \pm 1.84 & ^3 \ / & 2.5 - 0.5 \\ 48 & 0.11 \pm 2.4 & 0.22 \pm 0.57 & 0.13 \pm 2.34 \\ 0.22 \pm 1.57 & 0.05 \pm 2.84 & 72 \end{array}$

 0.04 ± 3.17

N. oleander :1 $10^{5} \times 1$) $105 \times T. \text{ vaginalis}$.(\frac{3}{3} /

| | 72 | | 48 | 24 | | () |
|------|------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|----------------------------|--------|
| % | ± * | % | ± * | % | ± * | (3 /) |
| 100 | $0.3 \pm 9 a$ | 100 | $0.4 \pm 6.3 \; d$ | 100 | $0.4 \pm 3.58 \mathrm{f}$ | |
| 79.8 | 0.2 ± 7.18 b | 96.8 | 0.5 ± 5.13 d | 73.2 | 0.2 ± 2.62 ghi | 0.5 |
| 72.5 | 0.4 ± 6.52 c | 60.4 | $0.3 \pm 3.2 \text{ fg}$ | 67 | $0.1 \pm 2.4 \text{ hij}$ | 1 |
| 60 | $0.6 \pm 5.4 d$ | 55 | $0.3 \pm 2.91 \text{ gh}$ | 51.7 | $0.3 \pm 1.85 \text{ jkl}$ | 1.5 |
| 55.8 | 0.4± 5.02 de | 39.7 | $0.4 \pm 2.1 \text{ ijk}$ | 47.5 | $0.4 \pm 1.7 \text{ klm}$ | 1.75 |
| 50.4 | 0.4 ± 4.53 e | 35.9 | 0.4 ± 1.9 jkl | 36.4 | 0.1 ± 1.3 lm | 2 |
| 33.4 | $0.5 \pm 3 \text{ gh}$ | 28.4 | $0.2 \pm 1.5 \text{ lm}$ | 33.6 | 0.1 ± 1.2 m | 2.5 |

. ± *

P<0.05

(3) 3 / 2.5-0.5 $p \le 0.05$

-0.5 57.83± 109.73 1.16 ±17.35

24 1.03± 13.13 ³ / 2.5

 0.904 ± 20 29.93 ± 91.27 1.19 ± 20.43

46.31 0.41± 25.32 48

. $72 0.31 \pm 22.72 6.22 \pm$

 0.22 ± 1.57 fgh

| | N. oleander | | :2 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| |) | T. vaginalis | |
| | | . (3 / | $10^5 \times 1$ |
| | | | |
| | ± * | | ()/ |
| 72 | 48 | 24 | (3 /) |
| 0.04 ± 3.17 a | 0.11 ± 2.4 d | 0.14 ± 1.84 ef | |
| $0.05 \pm 2.84 \text{ b}$ | 0.13 ± 2.35 d | 0.091 ± 1.39 ghi | 0.5 |
| $0.08 \pm 2.7 \text{ bc}$ | 0.12 ± 1.67 efg | 0.09 ± 1.26 hij | 1 |
| $0.16 \pm 2.43 \text{ cd}$ | $0.13 \pm 1.54 \text{ fgh}$ | $0.26 \pm 0.87 \text{ kl}$ | 1.5 |
| $0.12 \pm 2.32 d$ | $0.29 \pm 1.05 \text{ jk}$ | $0.32 \pm 0.74 \text{ lm}$ | 1.75 |
| $0.11 \pm 2.18 d$ | $0.27 \pm 0.91 \text{ kl}$ | 0.1 ± 0.38 nop | 2 |

. ±

 0.12 ± 0.26 op

2.5

. p<u><</u>0.05

 $0.22 \pm 0.57 \text{ mn}$

³ / 2 1.75 1.5 1 0.5 (4)

³ / 1.75 IC50

 $p \leq 0.05 \label{eq:problem}$. ()

%47.1 %92.9 (4)

48 24 ³ / 2-0.5

%31.2 %75 %34.6 %69.1

72

|) | N. oleander | | :3 |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| |) | T. vaginalis | |
| | | .(3 | $/ 10^5 \times 1$ |
| | | | |
| | ± * | | () |
| 72 | 48 | 24 | |
| , 2 | 10 | 21 | (3 / |
| 0.31 ± 22.72 | $0.904 \pm 20.0 \text{ efg}$ | g $1.03 \pm 13.13 \text{ fg}$ | |
| 0.41 ± 25.32 | 2 ef 1.19 ± 20.43 efg | g 1.16 ± 17.35 efg | 0.5 |
| 0.78 ± 26.6 | 5 e 2.18 ± 28.77 de | f 1.43 ± 19.10 efg | 1 |
| 1.95 ± 29.76 | adf 2.504 ± 31.36 c | d 10.15 ± 29.56 defg | 1.5 |
| 1.52 ± 31.03 | acd 11.45 ± 47.75 b | c 21.62 ± 38.57 cdefg | 1.75 |
| 1.72 ± 33.14 | 4 be 15.44 ± 55.78 b | c 15.7 ± 66.47 bc | 2 |
| 6.22 ± 46.31 | afg 29.93 ± 91.27 a | 57.83 ± 109.73 a | 2.5 |

.p≤0.05

³ / 2-0.5

.(5)

 $p \leq 0.05\,$

. 72

M. azedarach :4) $10^{5} \times T. \ vaginalis$. (3 / $10^{5} \times 1$

| 72 | | | 48 | | 24 | |
|------|---------------------------|------|----------------------------|------|---------------------------|--------|
| % | ± * | % | ± * | % | ± * | |
| | | | | | | (3 /) |
| 100 | $0.4 \pm 9.3 \text{ a}$ | 100 | $0.4 \pm 6.12 \text{ c}$ | 100 | $0.3 \pm 2.8 \text{ ghi}$ | |
| 75 | $0.3 \pm 6.97 \text{ b}$ | 69.1 | $0.5 \pm 4.23 \text{ e}$ | 92.9 | $0.2 \pm 2.6 \text{ hij}$ | 0.5 |
| 63.7 | $0.2 \pm 5.92 \text{ cd}$ | 68.1 | 0.4 ± 4.17 e | 69.3 | 0.3 ± 1.94 klmn | 1 |
| 58.5 | $0.2 \pm 5.44 d$ | 49 | $0.6 \pm 3 \text{ fgh}$ | 60.4 | 0.2 ± 1.69 lmno | 1.5 |
| 48.6 | $0.2 \pm 4.52 \text{ e}$ | 37.6 | $0.1 \pm 2.3 \text{ ijk}$ | 52.5 | 0.2 ± 1.47 no | 1.75 |
| 31.2 | $0.3 \pm 2.9 \text{ gh}$ | 34.6 | $0.2 \pm 2.12 \text{ jkl}$ | 47.1 | 0.1 ± 1.32 o | 2 |

.p<0.05

M. azedarach :5T. vaginalis

 $.(^3 / 10^5 \times 1)$

±

| | ± * | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------|--|--|
| 72 | 48 | 24 | () | | |
| 0.06 ± 3.22 a | 0.10 ± 2.61 bc | $0.13 \pm 1.48 \text{ fg}$ | | | |
| 0.07 ± 2.8 b | 0.16 ± 2.07 d | 0.11 ± 1.38 gh | 0.5 | | |
| 0.05 ± 2.56 bc | 0.14 ± 2.05 d | $0.23 \pm 0.94 \text{ jk}$ | 1 | | |
| $0.05 \pm 2.44 \text{ c}$ | $0.28 \pm 1.57 \text{ efg}$ | $0.15 \pm 0.75 \text{ kl}$ | 1.5 | | |
| 0.07 ± 2.18 d | 0.06 ± 1.20 hi | $0.25 \pm 0.54 \text{ lm}$ | 1.75 | | |
| 0.13 ± 1.53 fg | 0.16 ± 1.08 ij | $0.08 \pm 0.4 \text{ m}$ | 2 | | |

±

3
 / 2-0.5 (6)

.

 $p \le 0.05$

72-48-24

11.38±61.61

 1.38 ± 17.51

(6)

24

 1.41 ± 16.29

 0.73 ± 18.40

 $7.02 \pm 45.18 \quad 1.84 \pm 23.24$

 3.84 ± 47.22

 0.6 ± 25.72

48

72

 0.4 ± 22.39

M.. azedarach

:6

)

T. vaginalis

 $. (^3 / 10^5 \times 1)$

| | ()/ | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| 72 | 48 | 24 | (3 /) |
| 0.4 ± 22.39 fgh | 0.73 ± 18.40 gh | 1.41 ± 16.29 h | |
| $0.6 \pm 25.72 \text{ efgh}$ | 1.84 ± 23.24 fgh | 1.38 ± 17.51 gh | 0.5 |
| $0.52 \pm 28.08 \text{ efgh}$ | 1.68 ± 23.43 fgh | 7.04 ± 26.53 efgh | 1 |
| $0.64 \pm 29.48 \text{ defgh}$ | 5.32 ± 31.26 defgh | 5.95 ± 32.73 defg | 1.5 |
| $1.003 \pm 33.12 \text{ defgh}$ | 2.1 ± 40.05 cdefgh | 29.88 ± 53.23 cde | 1.75 |
| 3.84 ± 47.22 cdef | 7.02 ± 45.18 cdefg | 11.38 ± 61.61 c | 2 |

. ±

 $.p\underline{<}0.05$

(2005)Leishmania tropica (2002)Capparis spinosa Citrullus colocynthis 1.5 IC50 CM161 %74.6 2.5 4.25 4.25 %46.2 48) Junglans regia Viola odorata Myrtus communis Tribulus terrestris Ruta graveolens Althaea rosea Xanthium strumasrium Saliva officinalis (2001) CM161 (2002)M. communis Thymus oil (2005)Trigonella foenum graecum 10 (96 72 48 24) (1990)48 Kanada Capptis teeta Entamoeba histolytica T. vaginalis Giardia lamblia

(Russell et al., 1997; 1981)

.4 1

6 5 3 2

Nerium oleander

.2005

Melia azedarach

Leishmania tropica promastigote

.2001

Trichomonas vaginalis

.2002

Citrullus colocynthis

Capparis spinosa

1 13 Trichomonas vaginalis

.90 - 83

.2005

Trichomonas vaginalis

.29-23 6

16

.2002

Thymus oil

Myrtus communis

.37-26 2

13

.T. vaginalis

197-195 .1981

REFERENCES

Benjamin, C.L. and German, G.R., 1993. Students Study Guide Microbiology: Concepts and Application. McGraw Hill Books Company, London.

Brown, H.W., 1975. Basic Clinical Parasitology. 4th Ed., Appleton Century Crofts, New York., 130 p.

Bruning, J.L., 1977. Computational Handbook of Statistics. 2nd Ed., Scott, Foresman and Company. U.S.A.

- Cu-Uvin, S., Hogan, J.W., Warren, D., Klein, R.S., Peipert, J. and Schuman, P., 1999. Prevalence of Lower Genital Tract Infections Among Human Immunodeficincy Virus (HIV)-Seropositive and High Risk (HIV)-Seronegative Women. Clin. Infect. Dis., Vol. 29, pp.1145-1150.
- Diamond, L.S., 1957. The Establishment of Various Trichomonads of Animals in Axenic Cultures. J. Parasitol., Vol. 43, pp.488-490.
- Gwendolyn, L., 1996. Practical Medical Microbiology. 4th Ed., Chlamydia. Mackie and McCarituey. pp.621-630.
- Kanada, Y., Tanaka, T. and Saw, T., 1990. Effects of Berberine A Plant Alkaloid, on the Growth of Anaerobic Protozoa in Axenic Culture. Tok. J. Exp. Clin. Med., Vol. 15, No. 6, pp.417-423.
- Land, K.M. and Johnson, P.J., 1997. Molecular Mechanisms Underlying Metronidazole Resistance in Trichomonads. Exp. Parasitol., Vol. 87, pp.305-308.
- Lewis, D.A., Habgood, L., White, R., Barker, K.F. and Murphy, S.M., 1997. Managing Vaginal Trichomonasis Resistant to High-Dose Metronidazole Therapy. Int. J. STD. AIDS., Vol. 8, pp.780-784.
- Rein, M.F., 1990. Clinical Manifestations of Urogenital Trichomonasis in Women. J. Parasitol., Vol. 22, pp.225-234.
- Riose, J.L., Recio, M.C. and Villar., A., 1987. Antimicrobial Activity of Selected Plants Employed in the Spanish Mediterranean Area. J. Ethnopharm., Vol. 21, pp139-152.
- Russell, A.B., Hardin, J.W. and Fraser, A., 1997. Poisonous Plants of North Carolina. North Carolina State University U.S.A.
- Schwebke, J.R. and Burgess, D., 2004. Trichomonosis. Clin. Microbiol. Rev., Vol. 17, pp.794-803.
- Thomas, T., Choudhri, S., Kariuki, C. and Moses, S., 1996. Identifying Cervical Infection Among Pregnant Women in Nairobi, Kenya: Limitations of Risk Assessment and Symptom-Based Approaches. Genitourin. Med., Vol. 72, pp.334-338.